

#1

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
 Started: Friday, February 15, 2019 11:50:29 AM
 Last Modified: Friday, February 15, 2019 11:53:15 AM
 Time Spent: 00:02:46
 IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Email Address (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here. Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label) Never Have

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label) Not Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise ,
 Assistance with Individual project implementation

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Flood Management ,
 Stormwater Management ,
 Water Supply,
 Watershed Management ,
 Sediment Management ,
 Hazard/Emergency Planning,
 Habitat, Species, Open Space Management ,
 Capital Public Works Planning and Implementation

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

Not at All

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Don't Know
Making Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Don't Know
Making Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Don't Know
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Don't Know

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Don't Know
Managing Projects	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Somewhat Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Somewhat Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Somewhat Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

Respondent skipped this question

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

More than a Little

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public Partnerships What new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

Slightly

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments:

Respondent skipped this question

#2

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
 Started: Saturday, February 16, 2019 10:29:24 AM
 Last Modified: Saturday, February 16, 2019 10:45:27 AM
 Time Spent: 00:16:02
 IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Email Address (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here. I am a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label) Sometimes Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label) Sometimes

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply): Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds? Habitat, Species, Open Space Management, Stewardship

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label) More than a Little

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Making Policy	Somewhat Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive CommitteePlease rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Don't Know
Making Policy	Somewhat Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Somewhat Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Somewhat Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Other (please specify):
Some of the participants are unreasonable.

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Very Effective

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Don't Know
Managing Projects	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective
Other (please specify): The Bay Foundstion is not directly linked to the CCMP governance structure but is effective in implementing programs that are part of the CCMP	

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Very Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Somewhat Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

Respondent skipped this question

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Keep as is

WAC

Modify Structure

TAC

Keep as is

The Bay Foundation

Keep as is

Restoration Authority

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

Moderately

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments:

Respondent skipped this question

#3

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
 Started: Sunday, February 17, 2019 3:40:18 PM
 Last Modified: Sunday, February 17, 2019 3:46:17 PM
 Time Spent: 00:05:58
 IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Email Address (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here. Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label) Never Have

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label) Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

- Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise ,
- Assistance in Delivery of Regional Projects/Initiatives ,
- Assistance with Individual project implementation

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

- Habitat, Species, Open Space Management ,
- Stewardship

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

More than a Little

Q11 Effectiveness of Management Conference Please rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Effective

Developing and Managing Projects

Effective

Making Policy

Somewhat Effective

Raising and Expending Funds

Somewhat Effective

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Very Effective

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Somewhat Effective

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Effective

Developing and Managing Projects

Effective

Making Policy

Somewhat Effective

Raising and Expending Funds

Somewhat Effective

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Somewhat Effective

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Somewhat Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Very Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Somewhat Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Effective
Managing Projects	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Somewhat Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Somewhat Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Somewhat Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

Respondent skipped this question

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

Moderately

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments:

Respondent skipped this question

#4

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 17, 2019 7:15:14 PM
Last Modified: Sunday, February 17, 2019 7:32:39 PM
Time Spent: 00:17:24
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Richard F. Ambrose

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

UCLA

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

rambrose@ucla.edu

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

310-825-6144

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

I am a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Sometimes Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Other (please specify):

Provide technical assistance

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Stormwater Management ,
Watershed Management ,
Coastal/Local Planning,
Habitat, Species, Open Space Management ,
Climate Change and SLR Vulnerability and Adaptation

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

Very Informed

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Making Policy	Somewhat Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Making Policy	Somewhat Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Somewhat Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Not Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Somewhat Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Effective

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA)Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Don't Know
Managing Projects	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know

Other (please specify):

Even though I have been involved with SMBRC for decades, I didn't even know there was a SMBRA until relatively recently and I don't know anything about its effectiveness or activities.

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay FoundationPlease rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Somewhat Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Other (please specify):

The question asks about the Bay Foundation's governance structure, but I don't know anything about the governance structure. Therefore, I answered the questions about effectiveness of the Foundation, not the governance structure.

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Very Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Very Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Very Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

The MC governance structure seems pretty routine. The involvement of the Bay Foundation is more unusual, but it has allowed the SMBNEP to be effective.

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

The mixture of Governing Board, Technical Advisory Committee and Watershed Council provides a good balance of perspectives that contribute to the achievement of the NEP's goals and objectives. But the most important factor in success in achieving those goals and objectives is a dedicated and competent staff.

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Keep as is

WAC

Keep as is

TAC

Keep as is

The Bay Foundation

Keep as is

Restoration Authority

Keep as is

Please Explain:

Although the structure is unusual and a bit hard to explain, it works and I don't have suggestions for ways it should be changed.

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Policies and Practices

Not that I can think of.

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

Very

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public Partnerships What new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Finance and Partnership

s I think the Bay Foundation could be raising more funds independently, not just government grants. In fact, besides its involvement with SMBRC, I don't know what TBF does. But it potentially could bring private and foundation donations to the Bay NEP.

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Engagement I am already actively engaged, and I would want to continue that.

Q29 Other Comments:

Respondent skipped this question

#5

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, February 19, 2019 11:46:58 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, February 20, 2019 1:20:19 AM
Time Spent: 01:33:21
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Walter Lamb

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

Ballona Wetlands Land Trust

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

landtrust@ballona.org

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

310-384-1042

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

I am a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Regularly Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Very Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Other (please specify):

Overlapping missions with regard to conservation of watershed resources, most notably the greater Ballona Wetlands ecosystem

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Other (please specify):

Advocacy against urban encroachment of native habitat, education and outreach, research

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

Very Informed

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Not Effective

Developing and Managing Projects

Not Effective

Making Policy

Not Effective

Raising and Expending Funds

Not Effective

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Not Effective

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Not Effective

Other (please specify):

These responses are limited to NEP involvement in projects that the Land Trust monitors or researches. The structure as modified in the last four years has substantially impeded strategic oversight of projects at the Ballona Wetlands. The NEP often seems to behave more like a consulting firm than an organization leading restoration of the Bay and watershed. Opportunistic grant opportunities seem to drive project selection. Again, this response is limited to areas in which we have the highest visibility.

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive CommitteePlease rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Not Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Not Effective
Making Policy	Not Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Not Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Not Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Not Effective

Other (please specify):

The Governing Board does not exercise its authority and the Executive Committee exercises authority it doesn't have. If these bodies stopped meeting, and final decisions were left to staff, it would not seem to make a substantial difference in the operation or direction of the NEP. The stagnant nature of the Executive Committee hinders fresh perspectives from getting to the Governing Board.

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC)Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Not Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Not Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Not Effective

Other (please specify):

What is called the Watershed Advisory Council is in actuality an open stakeholder forum which was previously quite effective in engaging the public, but which has more recently diminished in value and which has never fulfilled the functions outlined in the MOU. I can not name a single function performed by WAC members that is not also equally open to members of the general public. While inclusion of all stakeholders is valuable, it makes the MOU section on the WAC superfluous.

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Effective

Other (please specify):

The Technical Advisory Committee appears to be the only SMBRC body that performs the functions called for in the MOU. Our primary recommendations for improving the TAC are to provide opportunities for the WAC and TAC to collaborate, as called for in the MOU, and to encourage TAC participation in the scientific aspects of more CCMP projects. For instance, the TAC was not asked to comment on the environmental analysis for the Ballona Wetlands Restoration Project, a high CCMP priority.

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA)Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Not Effective
Managing Projects	Not Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Not Effective

Other (please specify):

The record shows that SMBRA does not operate as an independent public agency, as called for in the JPA, but has rather operated as a public agency shell to allow TBF to enter into government to government contracts for projects that TBF has identified and developed. This is highly problematic and needs to be addressed.

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay FoundationPlease rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Somewhat Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Somewhat Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Not Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Somewhat Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Other (please specify):

TBF appears to be a well run non-profit organization in its own right, but has received poor guidance from US EPA and the State Water Boards regarding the legality of usurping authority legislatively assigned to SMBRC. Although TBF was created by SMBRP in 1990 to help raise funds for SMBRP, most of its funding was already available to SMBRP (and later SMBRC), such as the US EPA Section 320 grants, SCC grants and other grants. Many grants to TBF were in fact recommended by SMBRC. TBF does not appear to have a robust fundraising operation. TBF seems most effective at developing and managing marine projects related to kelp and abalone, and informing and affecting policy in those areas. It is our view that TBF is less effective with regard to watershed projects and would benefit from greater oversight and involvement from the Governing Board with regard to those projects. Educating and engaging stakeholders seems to be a strength of TBF, with its educational videos, clean bay restaurant programs, frequent restoration events, use of interns, and other programs.

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Not Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Not Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Not Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

On paper, the broad representation of the Governing Board, the scientific expertise of the TAC and the stakeholder involvement of the WAC look strong. As explained below, these structures have been undermined by the effort to split the NEP into public and private components, with the public SMBRC being clearly diminished as a result.

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

The open nature of the SMBRC meetings is a positive, but because no forums exist to discuss complex issues beyond a surface level, the value of public feedback is greatly diminished. Ending the use of armed sheriff's deputies and allowing public comment on each agenda item were policies pushed by stakeholders that have improved meetings, despite concerns by a small group of members and staff.

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Modify Practices

WAC

Modify Practices

TAC

Modify Practices

The Bay Foundation

Modify Practices

Restoration Authority

Modify Practices

Please Explain:

The above choices a bit confusing. By "modify practices" the Land Trust is calling for each component to operate according to the applicable legislation, MOU, JPA, resolution, and/or federal regulations. The Governing Board should be less passive and should operate as the decision/policy making body of the NEP. The GB should be reminded that it controls the Section 320 funds via approval of the Work Plan, to include project priorities, staffing levels, etc. The EC should limit its activity to that authorized by its enabling resolution. The WAC should function as an actual council, with an ability to form Work Groups and to provide consensus advice to the Governing Board. SMBRA needs to behave like an independent agency and take the initiative to develop and manage projects and seek funds for those projects independently of TBF. And TBF needs to decide if it wants to serve the NEP management committee or pursue its own interests, and draw a clearer line between those areas.

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Policies and Practice

s See above. The SMBRC must be restored as the primary face of the NEP, with TBF supporting SMBRC with staff and administrative support. The recently inverted relationship is not only inconsistent with the law, but it sidelines the Governing Board as the decision-making body of the NEP.

Additionally, GB and WAC entities that routinely miss meetings should be removed from those bodies until the request reinstatement. It is misleading to list entities as members of those bodies when they are never present.

As with the this survey as a whole, we expect our past comments to be incorporated into this review process. Not every previous point is repeated here.

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

A Little

Comments::

This was addressed in our response to the previous questionnaire.

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Governance Structure The Land Trust has made numerous and extensive recommendations on this issue over several years. We still don't have answers to basic questions, or meaningful responses to our many comments. Ultimately, what the Land Trust would like to see is not particularly important. What the law requires is important. The Governing Board needs to more actively discuss the recent changes that were imposed on SMBRC without Governing Board discussion. If the Governing Board believes that a model more similar to Morro Bay would be more effective in implementing the CCMP, it should prepare a report to the legislature.

Governing Board members should receive training that is fully transparent to the public and which provides SMBRC's legal interpretations of what is required by various statutes, regulations and governing documents.

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public Partnerships What new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Finance and Partnership The SMBRC has never requested funding from the legislature and no explanation has ever been given as to why. The SMBRC should restore its deactivated bank account to ensure it can receive funds from the legislature and other sources, including private sources.

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Engagement As we have stated many times, forums such as work groups, committees and task forces that allow more nuanced discussion of complex policy issues would engage more stakeholders and lead to better outcomes. Other NEPs do this (see for example Tillamook Bay NEP bylaws), and it is the only efficient way to form sound policy on a range of complex issues without substantially increasing meeting times for the full Governing Board. It is our belief that years of friction between SMBRC/TBF and the public led to a desire by SMBRC/TBF to tightly control all aspects of policy discussion, which has reduced engagement and created polarization.

Q29 Other Comments:

As with the previous questionnaire, the Land Trust believes this survey is self-serving and conspicuously missing any questions that would solicit direct feedback on issues that TBF may find uncomfortable. It is clear to us that there is a desired end state in mind and that this process was designed from the start to legitimize that end state. To ask GB members to simply read legislation and each interpret that legislation as they will, without any legal guidance, is unproductive. There has been no discussion of the historical changes to SMBRC's structure, no discussion of past handling of the Section 320 funds, no discussion of changed staffing levels, no discussion of how SMBRC and TBF align in the wake of the terminated shared board membership. We believe that this process has been a missed opportunity so far, with little time remaining for a course correction, and that the funds to support this effort have been misspent. I filled out the survey on behalf of the Land Trust to ensure that we are making every good faith effort to provide constructive feedback.

#6

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, February 21, 2019 6:26:54 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, February 21, 2019 6:34:16 PM
Time Spent: 00:07:21
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Shelley Luce

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

Heal the Bay

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

sluce@healthebay.org

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

I am a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Regularly Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise

Assistance in Delivery of Regional Projects/Initiatives

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Stormwater Management ,
Watershed Management ,
Coastal/Local Planning,
Habitat, Species, Open Space Management ,
Stewardship

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

Very Informed

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Making Policy	Somewhat Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive CommitteePlease rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Somewhat Effective
Making Policy	Somewhat Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Somewhat Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Somewhat Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Somewhat Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Very Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Somewhat Effective
Managing Projects	Somewhat Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Somewhat Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Very Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Very Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

Open to the public; includes experts from variety of sectors; includes project funders and implementors; keeps different stakeholders informed via GB meetings; improves collaboration and leveraging of effort among many different agencies and groups.

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Keep as is

WAC

Modify Structure

TAC

Keep as is

The Bay Foundation

Keep as is

Restoration Authority

Please Explain:

I don't know is the Authority is still useful. The WAC can stay the same or could be modified, but unfortunately I don't have suggestions for that.

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives? Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label) A Lot

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance? Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing? Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label) A Lot

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?) Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments: Respondent skipped this question

#7

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
 Started: Thursday, February 21, 2019 10:48:57 PM
 Last Modified: Thursday, February 21, 2019 10:51:51 PM
 Time Spent: 00:02:53
 IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Email Address (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here. Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:
 (no label) Have in the past

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:
 (no label) Sometimes

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply): Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds? Hazard/Emergency Planning, Climate Change and SLR Vulnerability and Adaptation

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?
 (no label) A Little

Q11 Effectiveness of Management Conference Please rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Don't Know
Making Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Respondent skipped this question

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Respondent skipped this question

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Respondent skipped this question

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC? Respondent skipped this question

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC? Respondent skipped this question

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation? Respondent skipped this question

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure? Respondent skipped this question

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives? Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance? Respondent skipped this question

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives? Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward? Respondent skipped this question

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance? Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing? Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label) A Lot

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?) Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments: Respondent skipped this question

#8

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, February 22, 2019 12:58:12 PM
Last Modified: Friday, February 22, 2019 1:12:03 PM
Time Spent: 00:13:50
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Lawrence Lovell

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

Dancing Coyote Environmental

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

lllpolytax@gmail.com

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

760-803-1608

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Never Have

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Active

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

- ☐ Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise
- ☐ Ability to Partner on Grant Funding and Projects
- ☐ Assistance in Delivery of Regional Projects/Initiatives
- ☐ Assistance with Individual project implementation

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

- ☐ Watershed Management
- ☐ Habitat, Species, Open Space Management

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

A Little

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Making Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Somewhat Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Somewhat Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Don't Know
Making Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Projects	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Somewhat Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Somewhat Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Don't Know

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Don't Know
Managing Projects	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC? Respondent skipped this question

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC? Respondent skipped this question

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation? Respondent skipped this question

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

Unknown

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Unknown

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

WAC

TAC

The Bay Foundation

Restoration Authority

Please Explain:

Unknown

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Unknown

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

Comments::

Unknown

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Not currently

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Unknown

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

Moderately

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

More projects focused on marine invertebrate communities of concern that face potential impacts.

Q29 Other Comments:

Respondent skipped this question

#9

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, February 23, 2019 10:23:41 PM
Last Modified: Saturday, February 23, 2019 10:31:13 PM
Time Spent: 00:07:31
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Eric Stein

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

SCCWRP

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

erics@sccwrp.org

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

7147553233

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

I am a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Have in the past

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Other (please specify):
research, monitoring, and assessment

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

More than a Little

Q11 Effectiveness of Management Conference Please rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Somewhat Effective

Developing and Managing Projects

Effective

Making Policy

Somewhat Effective

Raising and Expending Funds

Effective

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Somewhat Effective

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Effective

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Don't Know

Developing and Managing Projects

Don't Know

Making Policy

Don't Know

Raising and Expending Funds

Don't Know

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Don't Know

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Don't Know

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Somewhat Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Not Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Not Effective

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Effective
Managing Projects	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Somewhat Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Somewhat Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Not Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Somewhat Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

diversity and strength of staff

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Keep as is

WAC

Keep as is

TAC

Keep as is

The Bay Foundation

Keep as is

Restoration Authority

Keep as is

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

better coordination and improved clarity of roles between different elements

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

More than a Little

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

Moderately

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments:

Respondent skipped this question

#10

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Sunday, February 24, 2019 11:06:31 PM
Last Modified: Monday, February 25, 2019 9:55:01 AM
Time Spent: 10:48:30
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Christine Whitcraft

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

CSU Long Beach

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

christine.whitcraft@csulb.edu

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Sometimes Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Sometimes

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise

Assistance with Individual project implementation

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Other (please specify):
education and research

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

More than a Little

Q11 Effectiveness of Management Conference Please rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Making Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Don't Know
Making Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Effective

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Effective
Managing Projects	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

Respondent skipped this question

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward? Respondent skipped this question

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance? Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public Partnerships What new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Increased ties with Southern CA Wetlands Recovery Project

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward? Respondent skipped this question

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?) Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments: Respondent skipped this question

#11

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, February 26, 2019 11:51:35 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, February 26, 2019 12:32:49 PM
Time Spent: 00:41:14
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Laurie Newman

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

TBF

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

laurienewm@gmail.com

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

310-612-1440

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

I am a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Always Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Very Active

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise ,

Ability to Partner on Grant Funding and Projects ,

Assistance in Delivery of Regional Projects/Initiatives ,

Assistance with Individual project implementation

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Other (please specify):

All of the above in some form

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

Very Informed

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Making Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Not Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Somewhat Effective
Making Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Somewhat Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Not Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Not Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Very Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Effective
Managing Projects	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Somewhat Effective

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Somewhat Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Very Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Somewhat Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Very Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

It is very collaborative and participatory and allows for both private and public funding

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

We have worked on our governance structure for a long time, making changes along the way. I think when one understands the governance structure, which is very complicated, it works well.

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Keep as is

WAC

Modify Practices

TAC

Keep as is

The Bay Foundation

Keep as is

Restoration Authority

Keep as is

Please Explain:

I don't think we need the WAC as there is so much other opportunity for the public and members to get informed and participate.

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Not that I can think of.

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

Very

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance? Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

I think the state needs to contribute funding and that we need to develop more private funding opportunities

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments:

Respondent skipped this question

#12

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
 Started: Tuesday, February 26, 2019 1:19:47 PM
 Last Modified: Tuesday, February 26, 2019 1:24:08 PM
 Time Spent: 00:04:20
 IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Email Address (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here. Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label) Always Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label) Very Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply): Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds? Habitat, Species, Open Space Management

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label) More than a Little

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Not Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Making Policy	Not Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive CommitteePlease rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Not Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Making Policy	Not Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC)Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Not Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Not Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Not Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Somewhat Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Not Effective

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Not Effective
Managing Projects	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Somewhat Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) **Somewhat Effective**

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label)

Not Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label)

Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

Respondent skipped this question

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Keep as is

WAC

Modify Structure

TAC

Modify Practices

The Bay Foundation

Keep as is

Restoration Authority

Modify Structure

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

More than a Little

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing? Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?) Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments: Respondent skipped this question

#13

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
 Started: Tuesday, February 26, 2019 5:11:23 PM
 Last Modified: Tuesday, February 26, 2019 5:18:52 PM
 Time Spent: 00:07:28
 IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Email Address (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here. Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label) Always Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label) Very Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

- Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise ,
- Ability to Partner on Grant Funding and Projects ,
- Assistance in Delivery of Regional Projects/Initiatives ,
- Assistance with Individual project implementation

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Coastal/Local Planning,

Habitat, Species, Open Space
Management

Climate Change and SLR Vulnerability and
Adaptation

Stewardship

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

Very Informed

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Making Policy	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive CommitteePlease rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Making Policy	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Very Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Very Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Very Effective

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Very Effective
Managing Projects	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Very Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Very Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Very Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

Respondent skipped this question

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

Very

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance? Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Climate change adaptation

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?) Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments: Respondent skipped this question

#14

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Tuesday, February 26, 2019 5:59:38 PM
Last Modified: Tuesday, February 26, 2019 6:28:08 PM
Time Spent: 00:28:29
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Bob Godfrey

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

MARINA DEL REY ANGLERS

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

bobunreel@aol.com

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

Respondent skipped this question

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

Respondent skipped this question

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Ability to Partner on Grant Funding and Projects

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Stewardship

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

A Little

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Respondent skipped this question

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive CommitteePlease rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Respondent skipped this question

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC)Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Not Effective

Informing and Affecting Projects

Somewhat Effective

Informing and Affecting Policy

Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding

Don't Know

Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions

Not Effective

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Not Effective

Other (please specify):

The last meeting was not actively promoted/advertised. Only 8 stake holders attended the last meeting. Nothing much happened..

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Not Effective

Informing and Affecting Projects

Somewhat Effective

Informing and Affecting Policy

Don't Know

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Don't Know

Informing and Educating Stakeholders

Not Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall

Don't Know

Managing Projects

Raising and Expending Funds

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall

Not Effective

Developing and Managing Projects

Not Effective

Informing and Affecting Policy

Somewhat Effective

Raising and Expending Funds

Don't Know

Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions

Don't Know

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Don't Know

Other (please specify):

Seems like the goals and plans are continually shifting so it is hard to measure accomplishments. For example they all jumped onto the "climate change" band wagon and lost interest in delinquent plans for more achievable goals such as restoring Ballona wet lands etc..

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC? Respondent skipped this question

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC? Respondent skipped this question

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation? Respondent skipped this question

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure? Respondent skipped this question

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Modify Structure

WAC

Modify Practices

TAC

The Bay Foundation

Modify Policies

Restoration Authority

Please Explain:

The Dept of Fish and Wild life should be on the Exec.

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

Respondent skipped this question

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public Partnerships What new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

Slightly

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

If I had the time I would attend the Executive Board and TAC Meetings.

Q29 Other Comments:

It is hard to get stakeholders interested in investing their personal time when they do not see any tangible benefits in the plans.

#15

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 9:52:54 AM
Last Modified: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 10:06:59 AM
Time Spent: 00:14:05
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Masahiro Dojiri

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

LA Sanitation & Environment

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

mas.dojiri@lacity.org

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

3104673685

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

I am a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Regularly Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise ,

Assistance in Delivery of Regional Projects/Initiatives ,

Assistance with Individual project implementation

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Stormwater Management ,
Watershed Management ,
Climate Change and SLR Vulnerability and Adaptation ,
Stewardship

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label) A Lot

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Making Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive CommitteePlease rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Making Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Don't Know
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Effective

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Don't Know
Managing Projects	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

The TAC is the strength.

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

?

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

WAC

Keep as is

TAC

Keep as is

The Bay Foundation

Restoration Authority

Please Explain:

Don't know about the rest. I've attended the Governing Board Meetings and didn't see a whole lot accomplished. Most of it was approving the minutes of the previous meeting and receiving reports from committees. Not much of any substance really happened.

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Comments::

Due to the work of TAC.

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

N/A

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public Partnerships What new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Nothing to add.

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

Moderately

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments:

Respondent skipped this question

#16

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 10:16:35 AM
Last Modified: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 10:25:01 AM
Time Spent: 00:08:26
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

David Kay

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

david.kay@sce.com

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

8182191118

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Sometimes Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Not Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise

Assistance with Individual project implementation,

Other (please specify):

Interested public member

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Other (please specify):

Public access to the Ballona Wetlands Ecological Reserve

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

A Little

Q11 Effectiveness of Management Conference Please rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Effective

Developing and Managing Projects

Somewhat Effective

Making Policy

Somewhat Effective

Raising and Expending Funds

Effective

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Very Effective

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Not Effective

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Effective

Developing and Managing Projects

Effective

Making Policy

Effective

Raising and Expending Funds

Effective

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Very Effective

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Not Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Somewhat Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Not Effective

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Effective
Managing Projects	Somewhat Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Somewhat Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Somewhat Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

Respondent skipped this question

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Much better public outreach and communication, to the general public at large, and not just "interested stakeholders."

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Keep as is

WAC

Keep as is

TAC

Keep as is

The Bay Foundation

Keep as is

Restoration Authority

Keep as is

Please Explain:

Organization is secondary. It is the knowledge, drive and vision of the individual program leaders that most determines the organizations effectiveness

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives? Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance? Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing? Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

Very Little

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

If I saw them more vocally, publically advocating and defending the CDFW's Ballona Wetlands Restoration Project

Q29 Other Comments: Respondent skipped this question

#17

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 10:00:36 AM
Last Modified: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 10:26:13 AM
Time Spent: 00:25:37
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Suzanne Goode

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

California Department of Parks and Recreation

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

suzanne.goode@parks.ca.gov

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

8188800364

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

I am a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Regularly Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Other (please specify):

My agency has a seat on the Governing Board

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Stewardship

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

Very Informed

Q11 Effectiveness of Management Conference Please rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Making Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Making Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Don't Know
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Don't Know
Managing Projects	Somewhat Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Somewhat Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Very Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Very Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Very Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

Participation by agency stakeholders with the ability to restore and improve conditions of the bay watershed. Provision of staff services by The Bay Foundation.

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Same as above.

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Keep as is

WAC

Modify Practices

TAC

Keep as is

The Bay Foundation

Keep as is

Restoration Authority

Keep as is

Please Explain:

Members of the public have expressed dissatisfaction with the Watershed Advisory Council. There may be a need to reach out for larger participation and education of the public about the work of the SMBNEP.

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Don't know.

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

More than a Little

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Promoting greater public awareness of the existence and activities of the SMBNEP.

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Provision of staff by the State.

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

Moderately

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments:

Respondent skipped this question

#18

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
 Started: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 10:25:51 AM
 Last Modified: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 10:43:11 AM
 Time Spent: 00:17:19
 IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Email Address (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here. I am a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label) Have in the past

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label) Not Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply): Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds? Habitat, Species, Open Space Management

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label) Not at All

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Don't Know
Making Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive CommitteePlease rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Don't Know
Making Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC)Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Don't Know
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Don't Know
Managing Projects	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Somewhat Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label)	Effective
------------	-----------

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC? Respondent skipped this question

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label)

Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure? Respondent skipped this question

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives? Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance? Respondent skipped this question

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives? Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward? Respondent skipped this question

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance? Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing? Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward? Respondent skipped this question

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?) Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments:

Respondent skipped this question

#19

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
 Started: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 10:35:52 AM
 Last Modified: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 10:44:48 AM
 Time Spent: 00:08:56
 IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Email Address (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here. I am a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label) Always Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label) Very Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply): Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise , Assistance in Delivery of Regional Projects/Initiatives

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds? Climate Change and SLR Vulnerability and Adaptation , Stewardship

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

Very Informed

Q11 Effectiveness of Management Conference Please rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Making Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Making Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Don't Know
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Very Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Don't Know
Managing Projects	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) **Very Effective**

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) **Very Effective**

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) **Very Effective**

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

Respondent skipped this question

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Keep as is

WAC

Keep as is

TAC

Keep as is

The Bay Foundation

Keep as is

Restoration Authority

Keep as is

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

Very

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments:

Respondent skipped this question

#20

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
 Started: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 1:38:25 PM
 Last Modified: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 1:55:35 PM
 Time Spent: 00:17:09
 IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

- Q1** Name (Optional): Respondent skipped this question
- Q2** Affiliation/Organization (Optional): Respondent skipped this question
- Q3** Email Address (Optional): Respondent skipped this question
- Q4** Telephone Number (Optional): Respondent skipped this question
- Q5** If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here. I am a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference
- Q6** How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:
 (no label) Regularly Attend
- Q7** How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:
 (no label) Active
- Q8** What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):
- Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise ,
 - Ability to Partner on Grant Funding and Projects ,
 - Assistance in Delivery of Regional Projects/Initiatives ,
 - Assistance with Individual project implementation

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Flood Management ,
 Stormwater Management ,
 Water Supply,
 Watershed Management ,
 Sediment Management ,
 Hazard/Emergency Planning,
 Coastal/Local Planning,
 Habitat, Species, Open Space Management ,
 Climate Change and SLR Vulnerability and Adaptation ,
 Capital Public Works Planning and Implementation ,
 Stewardship

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

Very Informed

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Making Policy	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Making Policy	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Effective
Managing Projects	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

informative

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Don't fix what is not broken.

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Keep as is

WAC

Keep as is

TAC

Keep as is

The Bay Foundation

Keep as is

Restoration Authority

Keep as is

Please Explain:

Works very well

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

Very

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

works really well

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments:

Respondent skipped this question

#21

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 2:27:50 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 2:35:18 PM
Time Spent: 00:07:27
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Peter Glick

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

Del Rey Yacht Club

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

PMGlick@gmail.com

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

3108718611

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Have in the past

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Not Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Other (please specify):
information

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Other (please specify):
Recreation, fishing, boating

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

Not at All

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Don't Know

Developing and Managing Projects

Don't Know

Making Policy

Don't Know

Raising and Expending Funds

Don't Know

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Don't Know

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Don't Know

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive CommitteePlease rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Don't Know

Developing and Managing Projects

Don't Know

Making Policy

Don't Know

Raising and Expending Funds

Don't Know

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Don't Know

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Not Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Don't Know
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Not Effective

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Not Effective

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Don't Know
Managing Projects	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Not Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC? Respondent skipped this question

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC? Respondent skipped this question

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation? Respondent skipped this question

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure? Respondent skipped this question

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives? Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance? Respondent skipped this question

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives? Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward? Respondent skipped this question

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance? Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing? Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward? Respondent skipped this question

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?) Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments: Respondent skipped this question

#22

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
 Started: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 5:05:07 PM
 Last Modified: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 5:39:55 PM
 Time Spent: 00:34:47
 IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Email Address (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here. Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label) Regularly Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label) Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

- Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise ,
- Ability to Partner on Grant Funding and Projects ,
- Assistance with individual project implementation,
- Other (please specify):
- collaborative research, breadth of information distribution

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Watershed Management ,
Habitat, Species, Open Space Management ,
Climate Change and SLR Vulnerability and Adaptation ,
Stewardship,
Other (please specify)::
education

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

Very Informed

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Effective

Developing and Managing Projects

Very Effective

Making Policy

Somewhat Effective

Raising and Expending Funds

Very Effective

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Very Effective

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Very Effective

Other (please specify):

though the individual organization's (e.g. TBF) effectiveness in implementation is very high (tackling LOTS of projects - an impressive amount), there are challenges with the current structure in best meeting those needs. It seems that TBF and SMBRC are very effective in spite of some of the conference challenges.

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive CommitteePlease rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Somewhat Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Somewhat Effective
Making Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Somewhat Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Other (please specify):

EC/GB meeting frequency seems high considering most priorities are implemented by the partners (e.g. TBF). The variety and expertise of agencies and municipalities around the table is beneficial, however.

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC)Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Not Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Not Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Not Effective

Other (please specify):

The WAC has degraded into just a couple of individuals who do not represent a community of watershed stakeholders, and they just end up fighting amongst themselves. The WAC is the key challenge holding up the effectiveness of meeting CCMP priorities. There are several key watershed groups like Surfrider, Heal the Bay, water agencies, municipalities, and Friends of Ballona Wetlands, who all make meaningful contributions to annual work plans and actions/priorities and then there are other individuals who use the meetings as a platform for their own agenda (e.g. lawsuits, complaining about Ballona). The former groups have largely given up on the WAC because of the contentious individuals, and thus further reduced its effectiveness.

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Very Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Very Effective

Other (please specify):

The TAC is by far the most effective component of the structure. They work well together, listen, solve problems, inform regional monitoring efforts and research, and are comprised of key experts across a range of sciences. They communicate well with the GB/EC and NEP staff and help broaden the effectiveness, importance, and reach of the NEP. They should be commended!

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Somewhat Effective
Managing Projects	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Somewhat Effective

Other (please specify):

SMBRA seems to largely cause its own challenges from conversations with staff regarding budget constraints. Don't know how well it functions internally.

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Other (please specify):

TBF staff and their partners seem to largely be the drivers of CCMP implementation. Seems the only challenge is translating their work up to the NEP level? Give them more money!

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) **Effective**

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) **Somewhat Effective**

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) **Somewhat Effective**

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

Questions 17-19 were confusing.

Strengths = TAC, NEP staff, partnership development and support, communication and education opportunities. Sounds like utilizing Coastal Research Institute might be another effective research and monitoring tool to build. The benefits of the current structure are the groups around the table at the GB meetings being able to communicate and be 'on the same team'. Sometimes it seems like too much is put on the shoulders of staff.

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Again, largely staff driven progress seems to be made in practice. GB seems most effective at disseminating proposition funding, sharing important work by the conference members, and working towards a collaborative and science-driven work plan.

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Modify Structure

WAC

Modify Structure

TAC

Keep as is

The Bay Foundation

Keep as is

Restoration Authority

Modify Structure

Please Explain:

TAC + TBF seem to be fine. GB/EC should perhaps meet less frequently but maintain their composition. Could have additional committees to further support TBF and SMBRC staff? Additional SMBRC staff? WAC is dysfunctional and should be restructured completely - stopped attending because of contentious individuals. Not sure about SMBRA.

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Expedited support for key programs or projects. Additional funding opportunities fast tracked for CCMP implementation. More support of SMBRC and TBF staff. More partnerships.

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

More than a Little

Comments::

Glad to see climate change is a key initiative in the new action plan.

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Additional committees with a select membership may help take some of the load off staff? Consider other partners.

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

additional proposition funding. Measure W. Sponsorship from donors?

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Could have more frequent announcements/communication - reach out to GB members for announcements too? Less frequent meetings.

Q29 Other Comments:

Great work!! You all do an incredible amount of work and have achieved amazing successes, and we are pleased to be partners. There are certainly things that could be improved, but you do fantastic work in spite of those challenges and with what seems like an overwhelming list of tasks. I do hope the organization continues to grow.

- Staying anonymous because of the threat of a contentious few.

#23

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 8:37:53 PM
Last Modified: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 8:45:05 PM
Time Spent: 00:07:11
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Giovanni Di Franco

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

Coastal Research Institute

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

gdifran1@lion.lmu.edu

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

7144480017

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

Respondent skipped this question

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

Respondent skipped this question

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise

Ability to Partner on Grant Funding and Projects

Assistance in Delivery of Regional Projects/Initiatives

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Sediment Management, Coastal/Local Planning, Habitat, Species, Open Space Management, Climate Change and SLR Vulnerability and Adaptation, Stewardship

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

Not at All

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Don't Know
Making Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive CommitteePlease rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Don't Know
Making Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Don't Know
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Don't Know
Managing Projects	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

Respondent skipped this question

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

WAC

TAC

The Bay Foundation

Keep as is

Restoration Authority

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

Respondent skipped this question

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

Moderately

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments:

Respondent skipped this question

#24

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
 Started: Thursday, February 28, 2019 11:12:55 AM
 Last Modified: Thursday, February 28, 2019 11:28:24 AM
 Time Spent: 00:15:29
 IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Email Address (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here. I am a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label) Always Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label) Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

- Ability to Partner on Grant Funding and Projects ,
- Assistance in Delivery of Regional Projects/Initiatives ,
- Assistance with Individual project implementation

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Watershed Management, Coastal/Local Planning, Habitat, Species, Open Space Management, Capital Public Works Planning and Implementation

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

A Lot

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Very Effective

Developing and Managing Projects

Effective

Making Policy

Effective

Raising and Expending Funds

Somewhat Effective

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Very Effective

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Effective

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive CommitteePlease rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Very Effective

Developing and Managing Projects

Effective

Making Policy

Effective

Raising and Expending Funds

Somewhat Effective

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Very Effective

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Somewhat Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Very Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Effective

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Somewhat Effective
Managing Projects	Somewhat Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Somewhat Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Very Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Very Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Very Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

Multi-jurisdictional and multi-faceted, so combines strengths and collaboration

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Keep as is

WAC

Keep as is

TAC

Keep as is

The Bay Foundation

Keep as is

Restoration Authority

Keep as is

Please Explain:

All elements function well, transparency okay

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Some members of the public continue to voice inchoate concerns about governance, but it is unclear if any governance changes would actually result in satisfying the few critics.

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance? Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

New funding opportunities via state appropriations seems limited, but the conference should continue to pursue legislation leading to new bond funding, or Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund (GGRF) appropriations.

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?) Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments: Respondent skipped this question

#25

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
 Started: Thursday, February 28, 2019 2:38:53 PM
 Last Modified: Thursday, February 28, 2019 2:57:11 PM
 Time Spent: 00:18:18
 IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

Coastal Research Intern/The Bay Foundation

Q3 Email Address (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here. Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label) Regularly Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label) Very Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply): Assistance with individual project implementation

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Water Supply,
Watershed
Management
Sediment
Management
Coastal/Local Planning,
Habitat, Species, Open Space
Management
Climate Change and SLR Vulnerability and
Adaptation

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

Not at All

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Making Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Don't Know
Making Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Don't Know
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Don't Know

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Effective
Managing Projects	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

—

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

—

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

WAC

TAC

The Bay Foundation

Keep as is

Restoration Authority

Please Explain:

TBF has made a great positive impact on the Santa Monica Bay as well as it's community, and especially LMU with education, outreach, restoration and monitoring

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

Slightly

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments:

Respondent skipped this question

#26

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, February 28, 2019 3:01:52 PM
Last Modified: Thursday, February 28, 2019 4:04:29 PM
Time Spent: 01:02:37
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Chris Newman

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

LACoFD Lifeguard Division

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

christopher.newman@fire.lacounty.gov

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

3104333840

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

I am a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Sometimes Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Other (please specify):
Public safety, Public Health

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Other (please specify):
Public Safety, Public Health

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

More than a Little

Q11 Effectiveness of Management Conference Please rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Effective

Developing and Managing Projects

Effective

Making Policy

Somewhat Effective

Raising and Expending Funds

Effective

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Effective

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Somewhat Effective

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Effective

Developing and Managing Projects

Somewhat Effective

Making Policy

Somewhat Effective

Raising and Expending Funds

Effective

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Somewhat Effective

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Somewhat Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Very Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Effective
Managing Projects	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Very Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

The governance structure and objectives are clearly outlined in the MOU's regarding the commission structure and the foundation. I believe the WAC is a vital component for stakeholder engagement and steering specifically as it relates to public engagement. The TAC is necessary for scientific oversight and advising. I believe the foundation is a vital component for efficiently implementing priorities by raising funds and conducting field research and restoration efforts. The merit and effectiveness of this governance structure I believe is confirmed by the existence of other similar structures such as the Morro Bay NEP.

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Modify Practices

WAC

Modify Practices

TAC

Keep as is

The Bay Foundation

Keep as is

Restoration Authority

Keep as is

Please Explain:

I believe that one potential means of improvement would be to further encourage communication and dissemination between the GB, WAC, and TAC. Both the WAC and TAC are vital means of information in informing the decision making of the GB by providing insight into the priorities of the public and interested stakeholders as well as the scientific merit or proposed objectives and performance of projects. I know I myself am guilty or not helping in this dissemination of knowledge as I do not attend the WAC and TAC meetings as often as I should. However, as a GB alternate I do feel somewhat detached from these other components by mainly attending the governing board meetings.

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

As mentioned in one of the previous meetings, the governance structure of the Commission and how it relates to the NEP more broadly as well as TBF is complicated and can be confusing. Even as a past TBF employee and GB alternate, I am continually learning more and better understanding the structure. As a result, I do think that if feasible some basic orientation for new GB members would be helpful. Even if it was in the form of a document condensing the presentation of the gov structure along with the relevant MOUs.

I do believe the governance structure is clearly outlined in the MOU, has objectives clearly stated in those documents, and falls within the framework as set out by the EPA. However, in light of recent litigation, there continues to be an issue with among some with the perception of lack of transparency within the governance structure as it relates to the foundation. As a result I believe continuing to find ways to continue to prioritize transparency and to reach out to the public and continue to involve new stakeholders would be a worthwhile effort.

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public Partnerships What new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing? Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

Moderately

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?) Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments: Respondent skipped this question

#27

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
 Started: Friday, March 01, 2019 11:37:42 AM
 Last Modified: Friday, March 01, 2019 11:56:00 AM
 Time Spent: 00:18:17
 IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Email Address (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here. Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label) Regularly Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label) Sometimes

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

- Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise ,
- Ability to Partner on Grant Funding and Projects ,
- Assistance in Delivery of Regional Projects/Initiatives ,
- Assistance with Individual project implementation

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Watershed Management ,
Habitat, Species, Open Space Management ,
Stewardship

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

More than a Little

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Making Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive CommitteePlease rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Making Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC)Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Somewhat Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Other (please specify):

In my experience WAC meetings, more often than not, devolve into platforms for special interest groups who dominate discussion. The result is inactivity impacting policy and lack of diverse opinions and voices. I don't find these meetings productive. At All.

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA)Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Very Effective
Managing Projects	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Other (please specify):

TBF management and staff are well-versed in policy and science regarding SMBNEP. The organization is to be commended for taking an appropriately strong leadership position.

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) **Very Effective**

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) **Effective**

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) **Very Effective**

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

Respondent skipped this question

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Keep as is

WAC

Keep as is

TAC

Keep as is

The Bay Foundation

Keep as is

Restoration Authority

Keep as is

Please Explain:

Concerns regarding distractions leading to non-productive WAC meetings are noted above. Governance structure and policy are solid; interruptions and continuing attempts to hijack meetings are not constructive.

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives? Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

Very

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance? Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing? Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Please see previous comments.

Q29 Other Comments: Respondent skipped this question

#28

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, March 01, 2019 1:16:42 PM
Last Modified: Friday, March 01, 2019 2:47:19 PM
Time Spent: 01:30:36
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Kathy Knight

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

Ballona Ecosystem Education Project

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

kathyknight66@gmail.com

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

310-613-1175

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

I am a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Always Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Other (please specify):

To Work Together to Restore the Ballona Wetlands Ecological Reserve (BWER) in a slow careful manner to the fresh water seasonal wetland that it is, without bulldozers, that respects the plants and animals that are there now.

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Watershed Management, Coastal/Local Planning, Habitat, Species, Open Space Management, Stewardship

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

A Lot

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Somewhat Effective

Developing and Managing Projects

Somewhat Effective

Making Policy

Not Effective

Raising and Expending Funds

Don't Know

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Not Effective

Other (please specify):

We are disappointed that despite the groups and individuals that have worked hard to save and help acquire the BWER to restore it to the seasonal fresh water wetland that it is - the Governing Board & Executive Comm work against that goal.

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Developing and Managing Projects

Making Policy

Raising and Expending Funds

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Other (please specify):

What is CCMP? I don't see the initials somewhere previously stated.

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Not Effective

Informing and Affecting Projects

Not Effective

Informing and Affecting Policy

Not Effective

Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding

Don't Know

Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions

Not Effective

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Not Effective

Other (please specify):

There were only 8-10 people that came to the 2019 annual meeting on January 24. Some of them complained about how ineffective the meeting is. It seems like it is just a requirement to look good. Although the approximately 20 members of the Governing Board are WAC members, only a couple of them showed up. They do not seem to think it is important either. Our suggestions are not taken seriously and put into practice - such as having meetings with the TAC on the Ballona restoration.

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Informing and Affecting Projects

Informing and Affecting Policy

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Informing and Educating Stakeholders

Not Effective

Other (please specify):

We do not hear about their work. It is not presented to the public, and we are not asked to participate. At the WAC meeting, the Ballona Wetlands Land Trust asked to have meetings with the TAC. The WAC/Bay Foundation implied that that was a good idea, but when they reported on the WAC meeting to the Governing Board, they did not even mention this proposal.

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Respondent skipped this question

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall

Not Effective

Developing and Managing Projects

Somewhat Effective

Informing and Affecting Policy

Not Effective

Raising and Expending Funds

Don't Know

Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions

Not Effective

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Not Effective

Other (please specify):

Many of us are disappointed with the way the Bay Foundation seems to run everything, and the SMBRC Governing Board just follows their lead. We think the Governing Board should be running things, and the Bay Foundation follow their plans.

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label)

Somewhat Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC? Respondent skipped this question

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label)

Not Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

If it was run more openly and transparently it would be good.

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Having the process run openly and transparently and engage the public who want to be engaged.

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Modify Practices

WAC

Modify Policies

TAC

Modify Policies

The Bay Foundation

Modify Practices

Restoration Authority

Please Explain:

Have the Governing Board more independent of the Bay Foundation. Ask the public what structure they would want for the WAC so that the meetings would be more meaningful.

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Have a process to engage in working with members of the public and non-profit groups that have been working for over 25 years on their projects, but are left out of meaningful engagement on their project, such as the Ballona Wetlands restoration.

The DEIR to restore the BWER that the Bay Foundation was very active in did NOT EVEN STUDY an ALTERNATIVE to restore Ballona to a fresh water seasonal wetland that it has been for 400 years.

The public was shocked at the DEIR proposal to bulldoze the BWER and create a saltwater wetland that appears to serve as a flood control project for a nearby development.

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

A Little

Comments::

We don't see any changes happening in the management of the SMBRC to address issues and challenges looking forward.

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

As stated before, have a more active role of the SMBRC in making sure that they run the Commission and not just follow the Bay Foundation staff.

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Helping non-profits with some financing for their work.

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

Moderately

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

If the SMBRC and the Bay Foundation showed interest in working with the non-profit groups that have been volunteering their time for over 20 years to protect and restore the Ballona wetlands to the fresh water seasonal wetland that it is.

Q29 Other Comments:

We support the Ballona Wetlands Land Trust efforts to get the SMBRC and SMBNEP run in a more open and transparent fashion that would support public input and involvement. Right now it seems to be run by a private non-profit, the Bay Foundation, that is not subject to the same rules of transparency that a government group would be.

#29

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, March 01, 2019 5:42:19 PM
Last Modified: Friday, March 01, 2019 7:12:43 PM
Time Spent: 01:30:24
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Jim Lamm

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

Ballona Creek Renaissance

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

jim.lamm@ballonacreek.org

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

I am a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Sometimes Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Sometimes

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise

Ability to Partner on Grant Funding and Projects

Other (please specify):

BCR remains an active stakeholder relating to Santa Monica Bay watershed matters, even though we have not been as active in SMBRC-related meetings recently.

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Stewardship

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

More than a Little

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Somewhat Effective

Developing and Managing Projects

Don't Know

Making Policy

Somewhat Effective

Raising and Expending Funds

Don't Know

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Effective

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Somewhat Effective

Other (please specify):

In general, the governance structure has seemed to be a little unwieldy and probably understaffed for the scope of its mission. It's also hard to adequately respond to this survey given my lack of engagement due to many factors. For BCR (from my perspective), it has been difficult for our all-volunteer organization to actively participate in recent Governing Board and Watershed Advisory Council meetings. However, we continue to be very actively engaged with watershed stakeholders and government reps on many fronts. Among other things, these include (1) creek cleanups, (2) development of and advocacy for local ordinances relating to single-use plastics, and (3) two planning processes led by others on potential multi-benefit projects along Ballona Creek re: stormwater capture and creek revitalization.

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Somewhat Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Don't Know
Making Policy	Somewhat Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective
Other (please specify): See previous comments.	

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Don't Know
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective
Other (please specify): See previous comments.	

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Don't Know

Other (please specify):
See previous comments.

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Don't Know
Managing Projects	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know

Other (please specify):
See previous comments.

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Somewhat Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Other (please specify):
See previous comments.

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC? Respondent skipped this question

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC? Respondent skipped this question

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation? Respondent skipped this question

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure? Respondent skipped this question

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives? Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

WAC

TAC

The Bay Foundation

Restoration Authority

Please Explain:

Although I remain very interested, right now it is hard for me to delve into all these important questions and issues given my other responsibilities and commitments. All my responses and non-responses to this survey are mine and do not officially represent those of Ballona Creek Renaissance.

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

See above.

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward? Respondent skipped this question

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance? Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public Partnerships What new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing? Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward? Respondent skipped this question

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

There probably would need to be changes to my personal life that might free up my time and which are unrelated to the SMBNEP. My personal life is very good, but I've taken on some significant faith-based volunteer leadership roles and have ongoing extended family responsibilities in addition to my volunteer role as a BCR outreach person and advisor. Hopefully others at BCR will be able to become more directly involved.

Q29 Other Comments:

Thank you for all you do.

#30

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, March 02, 2019 7:07:15 AM
Last Modified: Saturday, March 02, 2019 7:35:31 AM
Time Spent: 00:28:15
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

John H. Dorsey

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

Loyola Marymount University

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

jdorsey@lmu.edu

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Never Have

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Very Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise

Assistance with Individual project implementation,

Other (please specify):

Partner with the Bay Foundation forming the Coastal Research Institute

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Other (please specify):

Research with the Bay Foundation regarding SMB habitats, stressors, and restoration science

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

A Lot

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Very Effective

Developing and Managing Projects

Very Effective

Making Policy

Very Effective

Raising and Expending Funds

Effective

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Effective

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Effective

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive CommitteePlease rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Effective

Developing and Managing Projects

Effective

Making Policy

Effective

Raising and Expending Funds

Effective

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Effective

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Don't Know
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Other (please specify):

I've never been involved with this aspect of the SMBNEP operations.

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Very Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Effective

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Very Effective
Managing Projects	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) **Very Effective**

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) **Very Effective**

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) **Very Effective**

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

Representatives of all major stakeholders are represented -- government agencies at the local, State, and Federal levels, resource agencies, key environmental groups. The TAC membership includes scientists with excellent experience, indwell noted in their fields of study.

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Good communication between the Governing Board and the staff on project needs, planning, progress, and effectiveness.

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Keep as is

WAC

Keep as is

TAC

Keep as is

The Bay Foundation

Keep as is

Restoration Authority

Keep as is

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Ensure funding from the EPA remains secure.

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

Very

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

No.

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

None that I can think of--outside my area expertise

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Continuing research activities with the Bay Foundation through the Coastal Research Institute and work with the TAC.

Q29 Other Comments:

Respondent skipped this question

#31

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, March 02, 2019 8:13:40 AM
Last Modified: Saturday, March 02, 2019 8:17:34 AM
Time Spent: 00:03:53
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Duplicate submission test

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

Already submitted

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

Respondent skipped this question

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

Respondent skipped this question

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Respondent skipped this question

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Respondent skipped this question

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q11 Effectiveness of Management Conference Please rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:	Respondent skipped this question
Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:	Respondent skipped this question
Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:	Respondent skipped this question
Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:	Respondent skipped this question
Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:	Respondent skipped this question
Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:	Respondent skipped this question
Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?	Respondent skipped this question
Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?	Respondent skipped this question
Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?	Respondent skipped this question
Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?	Respondent skipped this question

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

Respondent skipped this question

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public Partnerships What new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

Respondent skipped this question

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments:

Multiple duplicate submissions to this survey can be created using different browsers. Therefore, any anonymous surveys should be screened for redundant IP addresses.

#32

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, March 02, 2019 9:30:40 AM
Last Modified: Saturday, March 02, 2019 9:37:18 AM
Time Spent: 00:06:38
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Phyllis Grifman

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

Univ. of Southern Calif. Sea Grant

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

grifman@usc.edu

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

213 740 1963

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Sometimes Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Other (please specify):

Mutual exchange of technical, policy and policy expertise

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Flood Management ,
 Stormwater Management ,
 Water Supply,
 Watershed Management ,
 Sediment Management ,
 Hazard/Emergency Planning,
 Coastal/Local Planning,
 Habitat, Species, Open Space Management ,
 Climate Change and SLR Vulnerability and Adaptation ,
 Stewardship

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label) A Lot

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Making Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Making Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Respondent skipped this question
Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Effective

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Effective
Managing Projects	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

Ability to engage a wide range of stakeholders and scientists.

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Listening to stakeholders and involving them in policy direction

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

WAC **Keep as is**

TAC **Keep as is**

The Bay Foundation **Keep as is**

Restoration Authority **Keep as is**

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives? Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label) **Very**

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance? Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing? Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label) **A Lot**

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?) Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments: Respondent skipped this question

#33

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Saturday, March 02, 2019 9:59:58 AM
Last Modified: Saturday, March 02, 2019 10:43:39 AM
Time Spent: 00:43:41
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Lucien Plauzoles

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

Santa Monica Bay Audubon Society

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

plauzoles@me.com

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

310 779 0966

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

I am a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Sometimes Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Sometimes

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise

Assistance with Individual project implementation,

Other (please specify)::

Monitoring activity of local organizations and agencies in relation to avian species and their habitat

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Coastal/Local Planning,

Habitat, Species, Open Space Management

Stewardship

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

More than a Little

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Somewhat Effective

Developing and Managing Projects

Effective

Making Policy

Very Effective

Raising and Expending Funds

Effective

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Very Effective

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Effective

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive CommitteePlease rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Somewhat Effective
Making Policy	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC)Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Somewhat Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Not Effective

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Somewhat Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Somewhat Effective
Managing Projects	Somewhat Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Not Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Somewhat Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Somewhat Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Somewhat Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

Despite many complaints from some stakeholder groups, the NEP structure is able to act as a catch-all well beyond any other structure to enable Bay restoration efforts.

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

There still seems to be a considerable opacity in the relationships between SMBRC and the Bay Foundation and its staff. Considerable effort has been made to open windows into activities of the Bay Foundation, SMBRC and waterboards staff members, but more is needed.

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

WAC

Modify Practices

TAC

The Bay Foundation

Restoration Authority

Please Explain:

Like most local NGOs we feel somewhat left out of discussion and access to various agency decisions and planning. WAC could be a more active (frequency) and open conduit to stakeholder involvement.

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives? Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

A Little

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

The focus on structure is misplaced in my opinion. The activity and behavior of staff(s) are equally important in the broad scheme of the restoration.

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public Partnerships What new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing? Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

Moderately

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments:

Respondent skipped this question

#34

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
 Started: Saturday, March 02, 2019 3:38:47 PM
 Last Modified: Saturday, March 02, 2019 3:42:26 PM
 Time Spent: 00:03:38
 IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Email Address (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here. Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings: Respondent skipped this question

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP: Respondent skipped this question

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply): Respondent skipped this question

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds? Respondent skipped this question

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label) Not at All

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Don't Know
Making Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive CommitteePlease rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Don't Know
Making Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC)Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Don't Know
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Don't Know
Managing Projects	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label)	Very Effective
------------	----------------

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC? Respondent skipped this question

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label)

Very Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure? Respondent skipped this question

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives? Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

WAC

TAC

The Bay Foundation

Keep as is

Restoration Authority

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives? Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward? Respondent skipped this question

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance? Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing? Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?) Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments: Respondent skipped this question

#35

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
 Started: Saturday, March 02, 2019 4:23:57 PM
 Last Modified: Saturday, March 02, 2019 4:32:25 PM
 Time Spent: 00:08:28
 IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Email Address (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here. Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label) Always Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP: Respondent skipped this question

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply): Assistance with Individual project implementation

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds? Habitat, Species, Open Space Management

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance? Respondent skipped this question

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q11 Effectiveness of Management Conference Please rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Not Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Not Effective
Making Policy	Not Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Not Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Not Effective

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Not Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Not Effective
Making Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Not Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Not Effective

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Don't Know
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Not Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Not Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Not Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Not Effective

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA)Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Not Effective
Managing Projects	Not Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay FoundationPlease rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Not Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label)	Not Effective
------------	----------------------

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label)

Not Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label)

Not Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

Respondent skipped this question

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Modify Structure

WAC

Modify Structure

TAC

Modify Policies

The Bay Foundation

Modify Practices

Restoration Authority

Modify Practices

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

Not at All

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing? Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward? Respondent skipped this question

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?) Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments: Respondent skipped this question

#36

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
 Started: Sunday, March 03, 2019 4:15:35 PM
 Last Modified: Sunday, March 03, 2019 4:23:18 PM
 Time Spent: 00:07:42
 IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

Intern

Q3 Email Address (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here. Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label) Never Have

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label) Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

- Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise ,
- Ability to Partner on Grant Funding and Projects ,
- Assistance in Delivery of Regional Projects/Initiatives ,
- Assistance with Individual project implementation

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Stormwater Management ,
 Watershed Management ,
 Sediment Management ,
 Habitat, Species, Open Space Management ,
 Climate Change and SLR Vulnerability and Adaptation ,
 Stewardship

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

More than a Little

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Making Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Making Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Don't Know
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Don't Know

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Effective
Managing Projects	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Somewhat Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

Respondent skipped this question

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?	Respondent skipped this question
Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?	Respondent skipped this question
Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?	Respondent skipped this question
Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?	Respondent skipped this question
Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?	Respondent skipped this question
Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?	Respondent skipped this question
Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?	
(no label)	A Lot
Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)	Respondent skipped this question
Q29 Other Comments:	Respondent skipped this question

#37

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, March 04, 2019 9:03:55 AM
Last Modified: Monday, March 04, 2019 10:24:57 AM
Time Spent: 01:21:02
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Douglas Fay

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

3rd generation SMB environmental activist

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

douglaspfay@aol.com

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

4242294084

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Have in the past

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Rarely Active

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise ,
 Ability to Partner on Grant Funding and Projects ,
 Assistance in Delivery of Regional Projects/Initiatives ,
 Assistance with Individual project implementation,
 Other (please specify)::
 To provide honesty and accountability which is completely absent in the SMBNEP's current governance

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Flood Management ,
 Stormwater Management ,
 Water Supply,
 Watershed Management ,
 Sediment Management ,
 Hazard/Emergency Planning,
 Coastal/Local Planning,
 Habitat, Species, Open Space Management ,
 Climate Change and SLR Vulnerability and Adaptation ,
 Capital Public Works Planning and Implementation ,
 Stewardship,
 Other (please specify)::
 Historical records, community outreach/participation, science and policy quality control are 3 areas the SMBNEP governance is extremely negligent in achieving acceptable results.

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

More than a Little

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Not Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Not Effective
Making Policy	Not Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Not Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Not Effective

Other (please specify):

The initial purpose of the NEP's funding and involvement was to do a 5 year pollution study, followed by recommended mitigation actions and Santa Monica Bay National Marine Sanctuary dedication. Over 3 decades later and millions of dollars wasted, the pollution continues because the scientific and political integrity of the SMBNEP governance has been completely lost. The required oversight at the Federal level is absent. This survey goes to a State of California employee. This action in itself shows how terribly wrong this process is. I demand accountability and request a written response from US EPA in Washington D.C. that states they are aware of my concerns detailed in this survey.

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive CommitteePlease rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Not Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Not Effective
Making Policy	Not Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Not Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Not Effective

Other (please specify):

You have been extremely effective at discriminated against me to the point that I do not attend SMBRC meetings. You have not been effective at meeting the Mission Statement goal of restoring and enhancing the Santa Monica Bay and it's tributaries. You have changed the Mission Statement to meet your personal goals and ambitions which contradict rationality.

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Not Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Not Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Not Effective

Other (please specify):

At the instruction of former SMBRC and WAC member LA County Lifeguard Angus Alexander, I arrived at a WAC meeting intending on participating as outlined in the meeting notification letter. My Public Comment time was shortened and interrupted by the Chairman and I was barred from participating in the Working Groups. It was a totally humiliating experience that I will never forget. Nothing has changed.

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Not Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Not Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Not Effective

Other (please specify):

The TAC is Not Effective at implementing honest actions that will restore and enhance the SMB. Their actions are doing more harm than good.

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA)Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Not Effective
Managing Projects	Not Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective

Other (please specify):

In my lifetime you have done more harm than good to the ecology, the economy, and overall well being of all species.

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay FoundationPlease rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Not Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Not Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Not Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Not Effective

Other (please specify):

The Bay Foundation leadership is corrupted to the point that I and others have no respect for their actions. They are extremely effective at implementing actions that harm species and disengage stakeholders. There is little to no accountability oversight. Especially at the Federal level.

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) **Not Effective**

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) **Very Effective**

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) **Very Effective**

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

To lie to the world in plain sight. They have no intentions whatsoever to restore and enhance the Santa Monica Bay. My marine scientist father Dr. Rimmon C. Fay was known as The Father of the Santa Monica Bay. The research done by Rim and his staff at Pacific Bio Marine Laboratory is the sole reason the SMBNEP exists. The fact that there is no recognition of his contributions and that I am essentially banned from participating in the current process (other than this survey) confirms your greatest strength is hatred, which is not unique.

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

None that are currently proposed and/or implemented. Again, the goal was a 5 year pollution study, proposing and implementing actions that would fully mitigate the damage done to the Bay, and designating the Santa Monica Bay National Marine Sanctuary to ensure sufficient Federal oversight. Over 30 years later, the SWRCB is still controlling the NEP which is completely wrong on many levels. They are allowing the pollution to continue and using Federal funds through the NEP to do so. At the Federal level, the NEP is not enforcing legislation outlined in US Public Law 780 House Document No 389. They have not informed the US Congress of violations that have and continue to occur.

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Modify Practices

WAC

Modify Structure

TAC

Modify Practices

The Bay Foundation

Modify Structure

Restoration Authority

Modify Practices

Please Explain:

This process has become a complete failure.

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Yes

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

More than a Little

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Yes

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public Partnerships What new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing? Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

After significant changes are made.

Q29 Other Comments:

Neither Dr Wang or US EPA Region 9 representatives have replied to my emails of concern on this subject. Why?

#38

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, March 04, 2019 12:17:56 PM
Last Modified: Monday, March 04, 2019 12:36:05 PM
Time Spent: 00:18:09
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Bill Brand

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

City of Redondo Beach - Mayor

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

bill.brand@redondo.org

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

310-809-4405

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Regularly Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Active

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise ,

Ability to Partner on Grant Funding and Projects ,

Assistance in Delivery of Regional Projects/Initiatives ,

Assistance with Individual project implementation

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Stormwater Management ,

Watershed Management ,

Hazard/Emergency Planning,

Coastal/Local Planning,

Habitat, Species, Open Space Management ,

Capital Public Works Planning and Implementation

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

A Little

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Effective

Developing and Managing Projects

Somewhat Effective

Making Policy

Effective

Raising and Expending Funds

Somewhat Effective

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Effective

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Not Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Developing and Managing Projects	Don't Know
Making Policy	Don't Know
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Somewhat Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Don't Know

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Projects	Don't Know
Informing and Affecting Policy	Don't Know
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Don't Know
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Don't Know

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Somewhat Effective
Managing Projects	Somewhat Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Somewhat Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Don't Know
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Somewhat Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Very Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

Respondent skipped this question

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

More than a Little

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

Moderately

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments:

Respondent skipped this question

#39

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, March 04, 2019 1:39:46 PM
Last Modified: Monday, March 04, 2019 1:46:29 PM
Time Spent: 00:06:43
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

David Pedersen

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

Las Virgenes Municipal Water District

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

dpedersen@lvmwd.com

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

8182512122

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

I am a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Always Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Very Active

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

- ☐ Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise
- ☐ Ability to Partner on Grant Funding and Projects
- ☐ Assistance in Delivery of Regional Projects/Initiatives
- ☐ Assistance with Individual project implementation,
- ☐ Other (please specify)::
- ☐ Environmental stewardship of the Santa Monica Bay and Malibu Creek Watershed

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

- ☐ Water Supply,
- ☐ Watershed Management
- ☐ Hazard/Emergency Planning,
- ☐ Capital Public Works Planning and Implementation
- ☐ Stewardship

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

Very Informed

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Making Policy	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Making Policy	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Very Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Very Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Very Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Very Effective
Managing Projects	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Very Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Very Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Very Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

The breadth and depth of experience and expertise of the various members.

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Yes, the open and transparent governance process.

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Keep as is

WAC

Keep as is

TAC

Keep as is

The Bay Foundation

Keep as is

Restoration Authority

Keep as is

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

Very

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments:

Respondent skipped this question

#40

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, March 04, 2019 11:05:56 AM
Last Modified: Monday, March 04, 2019 1:57:11 PM
Time Spent: 02:51:15
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Martha Tremblay

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

LACSD

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

mtremblay@lacs.org

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

I am a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Regularly Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Other (please specify):
provide wastewater treatment and are involved in regional monitoring

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

More than a Little

Q11 Effectiveness of Management Conference Please rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Effective

Developing and Managing Projects

Effective

Making Policy

Raising and Expending Funds

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Effective

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Effective

Developing and Managing Projects

Making Policy

Raising and Expending Funds

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Effective

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Other (please specify):

I have not looked for executive committee meeting minutes but there is no discussion of what is done for the GB

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Don't Know

Informing and Affecting Projects

Informing and Affecting Policy

Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding

Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders

Other (please specify):

I will email comments to Guangyu.

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall

Don't Know

Informing and Affecting Projects

Informing and Affecting Policy

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions

Informing and Educating Stakeholders

Other (please specify):

I will email comments to Guangyu.

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall

Don't Know

Managing Projects

Raising and Expending Funds

Other (please specify):

I have not heard what the authority does.

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	
Raising and Expending Funds	
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

The structure seems ok. I just feel more communication would be helpful. I really don't know what happens at WAC or TAC. Does TAC use funds and if so, is this audited?

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

I liked working together with members of GB on identifying actions to include in the CCMP.

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Modify Practices

WAC

Modify Practices

TAC

Modify Practices

The Bay Foundation

Restoration Authority

Please Explain:

It would be helpful when you have new GB members to provide them an orientation so they are not lost on what is going on. I know there is info on website. I don't know what the Restoration Authority does. It would be helpful if there were a report out on what Executive committee is doing. Written reports on WAC and TAC doings would be helpful. What projects are they working on?

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Better communication regarding GB, TAC, and WAC committee updates and projects would lead to increased member engagement and thus better achievement of SMBNEP goals. Also, see WAC comments.

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Comments::

But would like more information on WAC and TAC efforts.

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Is there an auditing process in place? How do we know how much money is being spent? Who sees the information?

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

Moderately

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Better communication regarding GB, TAC, and WAC committee updates and projects would give stakeholders more context in which to increase engagement.

Q29 Other Comments:

It would be helpful if there was a flow diagram on the SMBRC website which depicts the relationship of the governance structure elements of the SMBNEP to one another.

#41

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
 Started: Thursday, February 21, 2019 5:46:11 PM
 Last Modified: Monday, March 04, 2019 3:05:38 PM
 Time Spent: Over a week
 IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Email Address (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional): Respondent skipped this question

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here. Respondent skipped this question

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label) Regularly Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label) Active

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise ,
 Ability to Partner on Grant Funding and Projects ,
 Assistance with Individual project implementation

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Habitat, Species, Open Space Management

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

More than a Little

Q11 Effectiveness of Management Conference Please rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Making Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Making Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Effective

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Effective
Managing Projects	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One

Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Very Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) **Effective**

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) **Effective**

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) **Effective**

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

Respondent skipped this question

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Keep as is

WAC

Keep as is

TAC

Keep as is

The Bay Foundation

Keep as is

Restoration Authority

Keep as is

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Respondent skipped this question

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Respondent skipped this question

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public PartnershipsWhat new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

Moderately

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Respondent skipped this question

Q29 Other Comments:

Respondent skipped this question

#42

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Thursday, February 28, 2019 5:57:18 PM
Last Modified: Monday, March 04, 2019 6:00:15 PM
Time Spent: Over a day
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Cung Nguyen

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

Los Angeles County Public Works

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

cunguyen@dpw.lacounty.gov

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

(626) 458-4341

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

I am a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Regularly Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Active

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise

Ability to Partner on Grant Funding and Projects

Other (please specify):

To protect and enhance the natural resources of Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds in a manner consistent with the goals and responsibilities of the SMBRC and LACFCD

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Flood Management

Stormwater Management

Water Supply,

Watershed Management

Stewardship

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

A Lot

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Making Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Making Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Other (please specify):
GB/EC not tasked with Raising and Expending Funds

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Somewhat Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Somewhat Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Other (please specify):
Low Agency Participation

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Very Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Very Effective

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Somewhat Effective
Managing Projects	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Effective

Other (please specify):

As a member of the SMBRA, LACFCD strives to protect and enhance the natural resources of Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds in a manner consistent with the goals and responsibilities of the SMBRC and LACFCD

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label)

Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label)

Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label)

Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

All agencies involved have a vested interest in protecting and enhancing the natural resources of Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds in a manner consistent with their respective goals

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

California Legislature (Pub. Res. Code §30988(d).)

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Keep as is

WAC

Modify Practices

TAC

Keep as is

The Bay Foundation

Keep as is

Restoration Authority

Keep as is

Please Explain:

Improve attendance and participation in WAC

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Not at this time

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

More than a Little

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Not at this time

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public Partnerships What new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing?

Continue to have Bay Foundation attend National Estuary Program Conferences/Workshops and report back recommendations to SMBRC. Continue to gather input/comments and recommendation from SMBRC Governing Board members.

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

Continue to support SMBNEP projects and strengthen existing partnership. Better align priorities of LACPW and SMDNEP.

Q29 Other Comments:

Respondent skipped this question

#43

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 2 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, March 04, 2019 5:43:31 PM
Last Modified: Monday, March 04, 2019 6:11:50 PM
Time Spent: 00:28:18
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1: Survey Open through March 4, 2019

Q1 Name (Optional):

Guangyu Wang (on behalf of Jon Bishop and Claire Waggoner)

Q2 Affiliation/Organization (Optional):

California State Water Resources Control Board

Q3 Email Address (Optional):

guangyu.wang@waterboards.ca.gov

Q4 Telephone Number (Optional):

213-576-6639

Q5 If you are a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference please check here.

I am a member of the SMBNEP Management Conference

Q6 How would you describe your organization's/agency's attendance at SMBNEP public meetings:

(no label)

Regularly Attend

Q7 How would you describe your organization's/agency's participation in the activities of the SMBNEP:

(no label)

Very Active

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q8 What are your organization's/agency's primary reasons for participating in the SMBNEP? (Select all that apply):

Availability of Technical, Policy, and Project Expertise ,

Ability to Partner on Grant Funding and Projects ,

Assistance in Delivery of Regional Projects/Initiatives ,

Assistance with Individual project implementation

Q9 What are your organization's/agency's primary responsibilities involving Santa Monica Bay and its watersheds?

Stormwater Management ,

Water Supply,

Watershed Management ,

Sediment Management ,

Climate Change and SLR Vulnerability and Adaptation

Q10 How familiar are you with the structure and functions of the current SMBNEP Management Conference governance?

(no label)

Very Informed

Q11 Effectiveness of Management ConferencePlease rate the effectiveness of current Management Conference governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

Select One:

Overall Very Effective

Developing and Managing Projects Very Effective

Making Policy Effective

Raising and Expending Funds Effective

Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions Effective

Educating and Engaging Stakeholders Very Effective

Santa Monica Bay National Estuary Program (SMBNEP) Governance Review eSurvey

Q12 Effectiveness of Governing Board/Executive Committee Please rate the effectiveness of current GB/EC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Effective
Making Policy	Somewhat Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Somewhat Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Effective

Q13 Effectiveness of the Watershed Advisory Committee (WAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current WAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Somewhat Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Not Effective
Informing and Affecting Program and Project Funding	Not Effective
Understanding Research on and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Not Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Somewhat Effective

Q14 Effectiveness of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Please rate the effectiveness of current TAC governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One:
Overall	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Effective
Researching and Monitoring Bay Conditions	Very Effective
Informing and Educating Stakeholders	Effective

Q15 Effectiveness of Bay Restoration Authority (SMBRA) Please rate the effectiveness of the Restoration Authority structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Somewhat Effective
Managing Projects	Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Somewhat Effective

Q16 Effectiveness of The Bay Foundation Please rate the effectiveness of The Bay Foundation governance structure in meeting SMBNEP CCMP priorities:

	Select One
Overall	Very Effective
Developing and Managing Projects	Very Effective
Informing and Affecting Policy	Somewhat Effective
Raising and Expending Funds	Very Effective
Supporting, Funding, and Managing Research and Monitoring of Bay Conditions	Effective
Educating and Engaging Stakeholders	Very Effective

Q17 How effective is the current governance relationship of the USEPA NEP Program with The Bay Foundation and SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q18 How effective is the current governance relationship of the State Water Resources Control Board with the SMBRC?

(no label) Effective

Q19 How effective is the current governance relationship between the SMBRC and The Bay Foundation?

(no label) Effective

Q20 What do you see as the unique strengths and attributes of the SMBNEP Management Conference governance structure?

The key feature of the NEP by design is local watershed-based, broad stakeholder presentation and involvement. The current governance structure of the SMBNEP retains this key feature, which is also its greatest asset.

Q21 Are there governance policies and practices that best contribute to achieving the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Effective for a resource-limited program. The effectiveness can be mostly attributed to the collaboration and partnerships among participating stakeholders, including the SMBRC-TBF partnership.

Q22 Are there elements of the current governance structure that could be modified for improved performance?

Select One:

Governing Board-Executive Committee

Modify Practices

WAC

Modify Structure

TAC

Keep as is

The Bay Foundation

Keep as is

Restoration Authority

Modify Structure

Please Explain:

Overall the current structure is still well suited to address the issues and challenges we expect to encounter in the foreseeable future, although modifications can be made in several areas to improve performance and be more efficient to meet CCMP Action Plan Priorities. Modifications can be made to reduce any administrative inefficiencies and procedures in the structure so more staff time can be spent accomplishing the CCMP Action Plan priorities. Improve legislative outreach and outreach for funding. Also improve stakeholder outreach to continue interest and support for the priorities and build collaborative relationships. Efforts to modify and improve the structure should focus on identifying ways to improve and enhance existing governance pieces rather than starting from scratch or adding many new layers, committees or groups that will require resources to manage, but will likely not add much value or improve the ability to meet the goals and objectives.

Q23 Are there new or modified governance policies and practices that could be implemented that could lead to better achievement of the SMBNEP's goals and objectives?

Several elements of the current structure can be modified and improved, including the following: 1). The Chair and Executive Committee should provide a stronger leadership in guiding SMBNEP's work priorities and the agenda of the SMBRC, and play a more active role in raising more funding sources to support CCMP implementation. The improvement of the EC function can be benefitted by regular participation of EC meetings by U.S. EPA and SWRCB representatives, the two primary sponsors of the SMBNEP, adding the two agencies as members of the EC if necessary.

2). The current structure of the Watershed Advisory Council should be revamped as it is no longer productive and effective in soliciting input from, and communicating with the general public. It is also to a large degree duplicative of the public involvement function already built into the structure of the Governing Board and the Board meetings. In addition, the large and overlapping membership of the WAC with the Governing Board causes a lot of confusion and create unnecessary administrative burden on staff and all participating members. Potential alternatives to the current WAC structure include a new Public Advisory or Outreach Committee set up by the Governing Board, similar to the structure of the current TAC, or annual or regular public workshop set up to present information on SMBNEP activities and to solicit public input. The current role of the WAC is to provide input to the GB on restoration in the watershed. This could be better accomplished by setting aside time for the public to engage with the GB during regularly scheduled meetings. The Watershed Stakeholder Group could be open to any stakeholders/members of the public to provide input.

3). The SMBRC-TBF partnership can be further improved and strengthened by amendments to the current MOU and MOA to further clarify the roles and responsibilities of each entity, including the designation and roles and responsibilities of the SMBNEP Director.

4). Develop special committees appointed by GB to: (1) engage the legislature and advocate for funding allocations; (2) identify potential funding sources for grants coordinate fundraising activities, (3) conduct public outreach, engage with the public on disseminating information and informing them of opportunities to engage.

5) All governing documents including, but are not limited to the SMBRC MOU, the SMBRA MOU, the MOA between the SMBRC and TBF should be reviewed and brought up-to-date at the end of this process.

Q24 How well suited is the current governance structure to address Key Management Issues and Challenges looking forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Comments::

See suggestions for improvement above (Q23) and below (Q25)

Q25 Could you suggest any other changes to the current governance structure or suggestions for future governance?

Review frequency of meetings and coordinate schedules. Reduce frequency of meetings, but perhaps lengthen the meeting time. Schedule them quarterly with key objectives at each meeting (e.g., reviewing and discussing draft CCMP and establishing priorities for annual workplan at one meeting, approving CCMP).

- Consider adding a Watershed Outreach Council. Appointed by GB and include the members of GB. Key roles, outreach to legislature for funding, ID other potential funding sources (e.g., existing restoration project grants), opportunities to coordinate on projects (e.g., STORMS, CECs), stakeholder outreach-keeping the public/stakeholders engaged, active and disseminate Commission/NEP products and activities.
- Governance document needs to incorporate considerations for climate change and building climate resiliency
- Identify ways to keep the governance pieces such as the governing board current and relevant. Also need to consider ways to keep public interest, regain public interest to improve ability to leverage resources. For example, consider integrating social media in the portions related to public outreach. Some items may not be appropriate to include in MOU, but should be included in the discussions to incorporate as appropriate.
- Clarify roles and responsibilities, meeting frequency, etc in MOU
- Review schedules given staff resources
- Need to build in enough time and emphasize the importance of people reading the meeting materials in advance and coming prepared to discuss at meetings.
- Investigate utility in JPA, not sure what the role is or could be.

Q26 Financing/Implementation/Community Private/Public Partnerships What new or expanded governance, financing, and implementation partnerships should the Management Conference be exploring and developing? Respondent skipped this question

Q27 How active would you like to be in the SMBNEP going forward?

(no label)

A Lot

Q28 How could you become better engaged with the SMBNEP? (What factors would increase your interest in and engagement with the SMBNEP?)

See suggestions above (Q23 and 25)

Q29 Other Comments:

Have updated lists of who is serving on the various committees and their alternates.

Add the Executive Committee to MOU. Include eligibility, appointment, roles and responsibilities, meeting schedule, etc.

Identify the need for and define the roles and responsibilities of the Executive Director of the Commission.

Define the roles and responsibilities of the NEP Director, include how they are appointed and term, if any or if ex-officio.

Clarify and delineate roles and responsibilities of TBF vs Commission

Focus on re-engaging people in this program, governance, and assisting with outreach for the program